

Correction and Extension of the Concept of Cross-Spin Control

RUSSELL A. NIDEY*

Kitt Peak National Observatory,† Tucson, Ariz.

AND

ALBERT E. SEAMES‡

Hughes Aircraft Co., Tucson, Ariz.

NIDEY has shown,¹ albeit incorrectly, that the cross-spin of a symmetrical rigid body can be easily controlled independently of the spin by rotating a pair of control jets about the axis of symmetry in synchronism with the cross-spin. Let λ and τ be defined as unit vectors parallel and normal to the axis of symmetry, respectively, oriented so that ω , the angular velocity of the body, has only two components, $\omega_{\lambda, \tau}$ (the spin and cross-spin, respectively). In this coordinate system the angular momentum of the symmetrical body also has only two components:

$$\mathbf{H} = I_{\lambda} \omega_{\lambda} \lambda + I_{\tau} \omega_{\tau} \tau \quad (1)$$

where $I_{\lambda, \tau}$ are the longitudinal and transverse moments of inertia, respectively. Thus

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}} = I_{\lambda} \dot{\omega}_{\lambda} \lambda + I_{\tau} \dot{\omega}_{\tau} \tau + I_{\lambda} \omega_{\lambda} (\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \lambda) + I_{\tau} \omega_{\tau} (\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \tau) \quad (2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is the angular velocity of the coordinate system relative to an inertial reference. But $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ must share the transverse component of the angular velocity of the body, i.e.,

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} = \Omega_{\lambda} \lambda + \omega_{\tau} \tau \quad (3)$$

Hence

$$M_{\lambda} = I_{\lambda} \dot{\omega}_{\lambda} \quad (4)$$

$$M_{\tau} = I_{\tau} \dot{\omega}_{\tau} \quad (5)$$

and

$$M_{\nu} = I_{\tau} \omega_{\tau} \Omega_{\lambda} - I_{\lambda} \omega_{\lambda} \omega_{\tau} \quad (6)$$

where $\nu \equiv \lambda \times \tau$ and $M_{\lambda, \tau, \nu}$ are the components of the external moment. Fortunately, the primary conclusion of Ref. 1 is confirmed: the component of the external moment parallel to the cross-spin (transverse component) modifies the cross-spin directly independently of spin. Furthermore, the component normal to the cross-spin and to the axis of symmetry (normal component) produces rotation of the cross-spin. The rate of rotation is given by Eq. (6):

$$\Omega_{\lambda} = \Omega_0 + M_{\nu} / (I_{\tau} \omega_{\tau}) \quad (7)$$

where $\Omega_0 \equiv (I_{\lambda} / I_{\tau}) \omega_{\lambda} = \text{const}$ for a free body² as well as for one subjected to a control moment, M_c , perpendicular to the axis of symmetry.

Let β be the angle between M_c and the cross-spin; the transverse and normal components are then $M_{\tau} = M_c \cos \beta$ and $M_{\nu} = M_c \sin \beta$, respectively. If the cross-spin is to be

increased, $-\pi/2 < \beta < \pi/2$; or to be decreased, $\pi/2 < \beta < 3\pi/2$. If $0 < \beta < \pi$, the normal component is positive, $\Omega_{\lambda} > \Omega_0$ and β tends to be decreased, aligning the cross-spin vector with the control moment; whereas, if $\pi < \beta < 2\pi$, the normal component is negative, $\Omega_{\lambda} < \Omega_0$ and β tends to be increased, again aligning the cross-spin vector with the control moment. Hence, the rotation of the cross-spin produced by the normal component is always of such a sense as to tend to synchronize the cross-spin with the transverse component. Thus it is easy to increase and difficult to reduce the cross-spin. Specifically, no rotation of the control jet is required if the cross-spin is to be increased; whereas very accurate servo-control of the jet is required if the cross-spin is to be reduced to a very small value.

To illustrate these conclusions consider the Aerobee sounding rocket for which $I_{\lambda} = 4.8$ slug-ft², $I_{\tau} = 450$ slug-ft², and $\omega_{\lambda} = 2.0$ rad/sec are reasonably characteristic values. Assume that a control moment provided by a 10 lb thrust jet located 5 ft from the center of mass is to be used for the cross-spin control; i.e., $M_c = 50$ lb-ft. For $\beta < 45^\circ$, for which the jet is still 70% efficient in increasing the cross-spin, ω_{τ} can be as great as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\tau} &= M_c \sin \beta / (I_{\tau} \Omega_{\lambda} - I_{\lambda} \omega_{\lambda}) \\ &< [(50)(0.707)] / [(450)(2.0) - (4.8)(2.0)] \\ &< 3.97 \times 10^{-2} \text{ rad/sec} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Thus, the free-body precession half-angle θ could be made as large as

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \arctan[(I_{\tau} \omega_{\tau}) / (I_{\lambda} \omega_{\lambda})] \\ &< \arctan\{[(450)(3.97 \times 10^{-2})] / [(4.8)(2.0)]\} \\ &< 62^\circ \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

in less than one-half second since the time increment, Δt , is given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta t &= [(\Delta \omega_{\tau})(I_{\tau})] / [M_c \cos \beta] \\ &< [(3.97 \times 10^{-2})(450)] / [(50)(0.707)] \\ &< 0.51 \text{ sec} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Contrariwise, if the cross-spin is to be reduced to 3.97×10^{-5} rad/sec corresponding to a precession half-angle of 6 min of arc, the required accuracy of control moment alignment would be

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \arcsin[\omega_{\tau} (I_{\tau} \Omega_{\lambda} - I_{\lambda} \omega_{\lambda}) / M_c] \\ &< \arcsin\{(3.97 \times 10^{-5}) [(450)(4\pi + 2.0) - (4.8)(2.0)] / 50\} \\ &< 15 \text{ min of arc} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

if the jet is not to be rotated more than 2 rps relative to the rocket body. By reducing M_c this limit, of course, could be increased correspondingly.

In any event, it is clear that cross-spin control can be of use in the space astronomy program and that the implementation of practical control systems either to increase or to decrease the cross-spin without first despinning the vehicles can be devised; though the latter is considerably more difficult.

References

- Nidey, R. A., "Unique cross-spin control concept for fixed fin sounding rockets," ARS J. **31**, 824 (1961).
- Thomson, W. T., *Introduction to Space Dynamics* (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1961), Chap. 5, p. 116.

Received June 10, 1963.

* Systems Manager, Space Division. Associate Fellow Member AIAA.

† Operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under contract with the National Science Foundation.

‡ Member of the Technical Staff, Tucson Engineering Laboratory.